



VII. Home BP Measurement

DIAGNOSIS AND ASSESSMENT

<http://guidelines.hypertension.ca/diagnosis-assessment/home-measurement/>

Subgroup Members: Donald W. McKay, PhD, Lyne Cloutier, RN, PhD; Mark Gelfer, MD; Sheldon Tobe, MD, MSc(HPTE); Maxime Lamarre-Cliche, MD; Peter Bolli, MD; Guy Tremblay, MD; Donna McLean, RN, NP, PhD; Raj Padwal, MD MSc

Central Review Committee: Doreen M. Rabi, MD, MSc; Stella S. Daskalopoulou, MD, PhD; Kelly B. Zarnke, MD, MSc; Kaberi Dasgupta, MD, MSc; Kara Nerenberg, MD, MSc

Chair: Doreen M. Rabi, MD, MSc

Editor: Raj Padwal, MD, MSc

This information is based on the Hypertension Canada guidelines published in Leung, Alexander A. et al. Hypertension Canada's 2016 Canadian Hypertension Education Program Guidelines for Blood Pressure Measurement, Diagnosis, Assessment of Risk, Prevention, and Treatment of Hypertension. *Can J Cardiol* 2016; 32(5): 569-588.

Recommendations

1. HBPM can be used in the diagnosis of hypertension (Grade C).
2. The use of HBPM on a regular basis should be considered for patients with hypertension, particularly those with:
 - i. Diabetes mellitus (Grade D);
 - ii. CKD (Grade C);
 - iii. Suspected nonadherence (Grade D);
 - iv. Demonstrated white coat effect (Grade C);
 - v. BP controlled in the office but not at home (masked hypertension) (Grade C).
3. When white coat hypertension is suggested by HBPM, its presence should be confirmed by repeat HBPM (see Recommendation 7 in this section) or ABPM before treatment decisions are made (Grade D).
4. Patients should be advised to purchase and use only HBPM devices that are appropriate for the individual and have met standards of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation, the most recent requirements of the British Hypertension Society protocol, or the International Protocol for validation of automated BP-measuring devices. Patients should be encouraged to use devices with data recording capabilities or automatic data transmission to increase the reliability of reported HBPM (Grade D).
5. Home SBP values of ≥ 135 mm Hg or DBP values ≥ 85 mm Hg should be considered to be increased and associated with an increased overall mortality risk (Grade C).

6. Health care professionals should ensure that patients who measure their BP at home have adequate training and, if necessary, repeat training in measuring their BP. Patients should be observed to determine that they measure BP correctly and should be given adequate information about interpreting these readings (Grade D).
7. HBPM for assessing white coat hypertension or sustained hypertension should be based on duplicate measures, morning and evening, for an initial 7-day period. First-day home BP values should not be considered (Grade D).

Background

1. HBPM can be used in the diagnosis of hypertension (Grade C).

Home blood pressure monitoring (HBPM), which is one type of self-measured blood pressure (SMBP) is useful for the diagnosis of sustained hypertension, white coat hypertension and masked hypertension. As white coat hypertension (i.e., elevated office blood pressure and normal out-of-office blood pressure findings) is associated with a better cardiovascular prognosis compared with those with elevated BP at the office and in non-office settings (1) its identification is not only important to guide therapeutic decision-making, but it relies on comparing an office blood pressure reading with results of out-of-office blood pressure measures. Observational data show that HBPM has the the best reproducibility when compared with office and ambulatory monitoring (2). Within-person variability improved with longer self-monitoring duration and lower intervals between successive home monitoring periods; the lowest coefficient of variation (2.7 %) was achieved after 4 successive weeks of monitoring, and the highest (6.1%) was observed when the week long monitoring periods were separated by a monitoring hiatus of 10 weeks. Despite moderate diagnostic agreement between HBPM and ABPM patients with white coat hypertension diagnosed by either HBPM or awake-ABPM have more favourable risk profiles and less target organ damage than those diagnosed with sustained hypertension (3). Furthermore, longitudinal evidence suggests that HBPM has better prognostic accuracy than OBPM; the incidence of cardiovascular events in patients with white coat syndrome was low and not significantly different from the incidence of cardiovascular events in patients with controlled hypertension (hazard ratio [HR], 1.18, 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.67-2.10) (4).

The existing data strongly suggest that levels of blood pressure at home are lower than those in the office (5-7), with home values above 135/85 mm Hg considered elevated (5-8).

2. The use of HBPM on a regular basis should be considered for patients with hypertension, particularly those with:

I. DIABETES MELLITUS (GRADE D);

II. CKD (GRADE C);

III. SUSPECTED NONADHERENCE (GRADE D);

IV. DEMONSTRATED WHITE COAT EFFECT (GRADE C);

V. BP CONTROLLED IN THE OFFICE BUT NOT AT HOME (MASKED HYPERTENSION) (GRADE C).

In studies of patients with CKD (9,10), home monitoring independently predicted the development of end-stage renal failure. The use of home blood pressure monitoring can increase patient compliance in those suspected of being noncompliant (11,12) and can increase compliance among diabetic patients (13). Using population-based home BP measurements from the Ohasama cohort (14), a recent study reported that patients with white coat hypertension were more likely to develop home hypertension than normotensive patients without white coat hypertension. In this study of 128 subjects followed for eight years, 47% of patients with white coat hypertension (elevated office readings and normal home readings) developed home hypertension compared with 22% of patients with normal home and office readings at baseline (OR 2.86; 95% CI 1.90 to 4.31).

The term 'masked hypertension' is applied to patients with normal office BP measurements but elevated home BP readings (15-17). The cardiovascular prognosis of individuals with masked hypertension is similar to that of individuals with BP readings elevated both in the office and at home (4).

3. When white coat hypertension is suggested by HBPM, its presence should be confirmed by repeat HBPM (see Recommendation 7 in this section) or ABPM before treatment decisions are made (Grade D).

The need to further assess patients using 24 h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring when a home BP based white coat effect is suspected has been supported by baseline data from the Treatment of Hypertension According to Home or Office Blood Pressure (THOP) trial (18). In this study of 257 hypertensive patients, home blood pressure was specific (89%), but not sensitive (68%), in its ability to detect white-coat hypertension.

Alternatively, a repeat home BP series can be performed to confirm the presence of white coat hypertension. This recommendation is supported by studies demonstrating that home BP is associated with lower variability than clinic or ABPM and multiple studies demonstrating that home BP can predict white coat hypertension and end-organ damage (1-3).

4. Patients should be advised to purchase and use only HBPM devices that are appropriate for the individual and have met standards of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation, the most recent requirements of the British Hypertension Society protocol, or the International Protocol for validation of automated BP-measuring devices.

Patients should be encouraged to use devices with data recording capabilities or automatic data transmission to increase the reliability of reported HBPM (Grade D).

Accurate BP results from home/self BP monitors require that validated devices are used (19,20). Devices capable of recording BP readings or transmitting readings to the health care provider may help ensure that clinical decision-making is based on the most accurate data (21).

Only some automated home blood pressure monitoring devices meet rigorous standards for accuracy and reliability, and many devices become less accurate over time (22,23). Fully automated devices may be preferable for some elderly patients who may have difficulty handling semi-automated devices (24). Various studies, using different of experimental approaches have reported that patient log books of blood pressure readings may differ from data obtained from the device memory (25-28). Although these differences may arise for a variety of reasons, accurate data are required for the best clinical decision-making. For each office visit, patients should be advised to bring in all recorded blood pressure data so it can be reviewed and the importance of accurate recording can be discussed or demonstrated.

5. Home SBP values of ≥ 135 mm Hg or DBP values ≥ 85 mm Hg should be considered to be increased and associated with an increased overall mortality risk (Grade C).

The threshold above which home/self BP values should be considered elevated is 135/85 mmHg. This is supported by prognostic studies showing an increased risk of cardiovascular events above or near this threshold (29-37).

6. Health care professionals should ensure that patients who measure their BP at home have adequate training and, if necessary, repeat training in measuring their BP. Patients should be observed to determine that they measure BP correctly and should be given adequate information about interpreting these readings (Grade D).

Patients measuring blood pressure at home should be trained by a qualified health care professional and should be provided with adequate information for interpretation (38,39).

7. HBPM for assessing white coat hypertension or sustained hypertension should be based on duplicate measures, morning and evening, for an initial 7-day period. First-day home BP values should not be considered (Grade D).

Despite varied measurement protocols, home BP monitoring has been shown to predict health outcomes (40,41) better than office BP measurements. Although single home readings were shown to be predictive of stroke in a large population (42), multiple BP readings are required for risk prediction within individuals. BP readings taken on the first day in a series of measurements (43,44) are higher than those on subsequent days, and with respect to duplicate readings, first readings are consistently higher than second readings in both the morning and the evening (44,45).

Expert opinion suggests that in patients with stable normotensive BP averages, “long term observation” can be achieved by one week of BP monitoring every three months (46). Patients who have difficulty remembering to take medication may benefit from daily home BP measurement (47) and diabetic patients can benefit from frequent home BP monitoring (48).

References

1. Stergiou GS, Bliziotis IA. Home blood pressure monitoring in the diagnosis and treatment of hypertension: a systematic review. *Am J Hypertens* 2011;24:123-34.
2. Warren RE, Marshall T, Padfield PL, Chrubasik S. Variability of office, 24-hour ambulatory, and self-monitored blood pressure measurements. *Br J Gen Pract* 2010;60:675-80.
3. Coll de Tuero G, Libre JB, Poncelas AR, Saumell CR, Saez M, Boreu QF, et al. Isolated clinical hypertension diagnosis: self-home BP, ambulatory BP monitoring, or both simultaneously? *Blood Press Monit* 2011;16(1):11-5.
4. Bobrie G, Chatellier G, Genes N, et al. Cardiovascular prognosis of “masked hypertension” detected by blood pressure self-measurement in elderly treated hypertensive patients. *JAMA* 2004; 291:1342-9.
5. de Gaudemaris R, Chau NP, Mallion J-M. Home blood pressure: variability, comparison with office readings and proposal for reference values. *J Hypertens* 1994;12:831-8.
6. Tsuji I, Imai Y, Nagai K, Ohkubo T, Watanabe N, Minami N, et al. Proposal of reference values for home blood pressure measurement. Prognostic criteria based on a prospective observation of the general population in Ohasama, Japan. *Am J Hypertens* 1997;10:409-18.
7. Imai Y, Satoh H, Nagai K. Characteristics of a community-based distribution of home blood pressure in Ohasama in northern Japan. *J Hypertens* 1993;11:1441-9.
8. Thijs L, Staessen JA, Celis H, de Gaudemaris R, Imai Y, Julius S, et al. Reference values of self-recorded blood pressure: a meta-analysis of summary data. *Arch Intern Med* 1998;158:481- 8.
9. Agarwal R, Andersen MJ. Prognostic importance of clinic and home blood pressure recordings in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int* 2006;69:406-11.
10. Suzuki H, Nakamoto H, Okada H, Sugahara S, Kanno Y. Self-measured systolic blood pressure in the morning is a strong indicator of decline of renal function in hypertensive patients with non-diabetic chronic renal insufficiency. *Clin Exp Hypertens* 2002;24:249-60.
11. Haynes RB, Sackett DL, Gibson ES. Improvement of medication compliance in uncontrolled hypertension. *Lancet* 1976;1:1265-8.
12. Johnson AL, Taylor DW, Sackett DL. Self-recording of blood pressure in the management of hypertension. *CMAJ* 1978;119:1034-9.
13. Sawicki P, Muhlhauser I, Didjurgeit U. Intensified antihypertensive therapy is associated with improved survival in type 1 diabetic patients with nephropathy. *J Hypertens* 1995;13:933-8.
14. Ugajin T, Hozawa A, Ohkubo T, et al. White-coat hypertension as a risk factor for the development of home hypertension: The Ohasama study. *Arch Intern Med* 2005;165:1541-6.
15. Stergiou GS, Salgami EV, Tzamouranis DG, Roussias LG. Masked hypertension assessed by ambulatory blood pressure versus home blood pressure monitoring: is it the same phenomenon? *Am J Hypertens* 2005;18:772-8.
16. Pickering TG, Gerin W, Schwartz AR. What is the white-coat effect and how should it be measured? *Blood Press Monit* 2002;7:293-300.
17. Mancia G. Reversed white-coat hypertension: Definition, mechanisms and prognostic implications. *J Hypertens* 2002;20:579-81.
18. Den Hand E, Celis H, Fagard R, et al. Self-measured versus ambulatory blood pressure in the diagnosis of hypertension. *J Hypertens* 2003;21:717-22.
19. O'Brien E, Petrie J, Littler W, et al. An outline of the revised British Hypertension Society protocol for the evaluation of blood pressure measuring devices. *J Hypertens* 1993;11:677-9.

20. O'Brien E, Pickering T, Asmar R, et al; Working Group on Blood Pressure Monitoring of the European Society of Hypertension. Working Group on Blood Pressure Monitoring of the European Society of Hypertension International Protocol for validation of blood pressure measuring devices in adults. *Blood Press Monit* 2002;7:3-17.
21. O'Brien E, Parati G, Stergiou G, et al. European Society of Hypertension position paper on ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. *J Hypertens* 2013;31:1731-1768.
22. O'Brien E, Waeber B, Parati G, Staessen J, Myers MG. Blood pressure measuring devices: recommendations of the European Society of Hypertension. *BMJ* 2001;322: 531-6.
23. McKay DW, Campbell NR, Chockalingam A, Ku L, Small C, Wasi S. Self-measurement of blood pressure: assessment of equipment. Canadian Coalition for High Blood Pressure Prevention and Control. *Can J Cardiol* 1995;11(Suppl H): 29H-34H.
24. Anconicelli R, Partemi M, Spazzafumo L, Amadio L, Paciaroni E. Blood pressure self-measurement in the elderly: differences between automatic and semi-automatic systems. *J Hum Hypertens* 1995;9:229-31.
25. Myers MG. Self-measurement of blood pressure at home: the potential for reporting bias. *Blood Press Monit* 2001;3:S19-22.
26. Mengden T; Hernandez Medina RM, Beltran B, Alvarez E, Kraft K, Vetter H. Reliability of reporting self-measured blood pressure values by hypertensive patients. *Am J Hypertens* 1998; 11:1413-7.
27. Nordmann A, Frach B, Walker T, Martina B, Battagay E. Reliability of patients measuring blood pressure at home: prospective observational study. *BMJ* 1999;319:1172.
28. Johnson KA, Partsch DJ, Rippole LL, McVey DM. Reliability of self-reported blood pressure measurements. *Arch Intern Med* 1999;159: 2689-93.
29. Bobrie G, Chatellier G, Genes N, et al. Cardiovascular prognosis of "masked hypertension" detected by blood pressure self-measurement in elderly treated hypertensive patients. *JAMA* 2004;291:1342-9.
30. Asayama K, Ohkubo T, Kikuya M, et al. Prediction of stroke by self-measurement of blood pressure at home versus casual screening blood pressure measurement in relation to the Joint National Committee 7 classification: The Ohasama study. *Stroke* 2004;35:2356-61.
31. Ohkubo T, Asayama K, Kikuya M, et al; Ohasama Study. How many times should blood pressure be measured at home for better prediction of stroke risk? Ten-year follow-up results from the Ohasama study. *J Hypertens* 2004;22:1099-104.
32. Tsuji I, Imai Y, Nagai K, et al. Proposal of reference values for home blood pressure measurement: prognostic criteria based on a prospective observation of the general population in Ohasama, Japan. *Am J Hypertens* 1997;10:409-18.
33. Thijs L, Staessen JA, Celis H, et al. Reference values for self-recorded blood pressure: a meta-analysis of summary data. *Arch Intern Med* 1998;158:481-8.
34. Ohkubo T, Imai Y, Tsuji I, et al. Home blood pressure measurement has a stronger predictive power for mortality than does screening blood pressure measurement: a population-based observation in Ohasama, Japan. *J Hypertens* 1998;16:971-5.
35. Sakuma M, Imai Y, Tsuji I, et al. Predictive value of home blood pressure measurement in relation to stroke morbidity: a population-based pilot study in Ohasama, Japan. *Hypertens Res* 1997;20:167-74.
36. de Gaudemaris R, Chau NP, Mallion J-M. Home blood pressure: variability, comparison with office readings and proposal for reference values. *J Hypertens* 1994;12:831-8.
37. Imai Y, Satoh H, Nagai K. Characteristics of a community-based distribution of home blood pressure in Ohasama in northern Japan. *J Hypertens* 1993;11:1441-9.
38. Campbell NR, Milkovich L, Burgess E, McKay DW. Self-measurement of blood pressure: accuracy, patient preparation for readings, technique and equipment. *Blood Press Monit* 2001;6:133-8.
39. Mengden T, Chamontin B, Phong Chau N, Luis Palma Gamiz J, Chanudet X and participants of the First International Consensus Conference on Self Blood Pressure Measurement. User procedure for self-measurement of blood pressure. *Blood Press Monit* 2000;5(2):111-29.
40. Bobrie G, Chatellier G, Genes N, et al. Cardiovascular prognosis of "masked hypertension" detected by blood pressure self-measurement in elderly treated hypertensive patients. *JAMA* 2004;291:1342-9.

41. Asayama K, Ohkubo T, Kikuya M, et al. Prediction of stroke by self-measurement of blood pressure at home versus casual screening blood pressure measurement in relation to the Joint National Committee 7 classification: The Ohasama study. *Stroke* 2004;35:2356-61.
42. Ohkubo T, Asayama K, Kikuya M, et al; Ohasama Study. How many times should blood pressure be measured at home for better prediction of stroke risk? Ten-year follow-up results from the Ohasama study. *J Hypertens* 2004;22:1099-104.
43. Hond ED, Celis H, Fagard R, et al; THOP investigators. Self-measured versus ambulatory blood pressure in the diagnosis of hypertension. *J Hypertens* 2003;21:717-22.
44. Stergiou GS, Skeva II, Zourbaki AS, Mountrakakis TD. Self-monitoring of blood pressure at home: How many measurements are needed? *J Hypertens* 1998;16:725-31.
45. Stergiou GS, Thomopoulou GC, Skeva II, Mountrakakis TD. Home blood pressure normalcy: The Didima study. *Am J Hypertens* 2000;13:678-85.
46. Mengden T, Chamontin B, Chau NP, Gamiz JLP, Chanudet X. and the participants of the First International Consensus Conference on Self Blood Pressure Measurement. User procedure for self-measurement of blood pressure. *Blood Press Monit* 2000;5:111-29.
47. Johnson AL, Taylor W, Sackett DL, Dunnett CW, Shimizu AG. Self-recording of blood pressure in the management of hypertension. *CMAJ* 1978;119:1034-9.
48. Rave K, Bender R, Heise T, Sawicki PT. Value of blood pressure self-monitoring as a predictor of progression of diabetic nephropathy. *J Hypertens* 1999;17:587-601.